

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 30-04-2010		2. REPORT TYPE FINAL		3. DATES COVERED (From - To)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE HIZBULLLOGISTICS: The Asymmetrical Application of Logistics in War				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) J. M. Daniel, Lieutenant Commander, Supply Corps, USN Paper Advisors: CAPT Mark Donahue, USN and Lt. Col. Justin Speegle, USAF				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) AND ADDRESS(ES) Joint Military Operations Department Naval War College 686 Cushing Road Newport, RI 02841-1207				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Distribution Statement A: Approved for public release; Distribution is unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: A paper submitted to the Naval War College faculty in partial satisfaction of the requirements of the Joint Military Operations Department. The contents of this paper reflect my own personal views and are not necessarily endorsed by the NWC or the Department of the Navy.					
14. ABSTRACT: This paper analyzes logistics in asymmetrical warfare and finds that the use of unconventional techniques have enabled insurgents to exploit adversaries at the tactical and operational levels of war. It examines the Israeli-Hizbullah War of 2006, as well as other U. S. military operations where insurgents have been successful in acquiring and protecting their weapons and supplies. Additionally, it examines several techniques used to stop the logistical flow of arms to insurgents. Although some of the preventive measures appear somewhat out-dated, they, along with some newer seaport security initiatives and capacity building programs are still very relevant, and can help provide the stability needed to prevent the spread and use of arms among insurgents while also providing the needed security and protection for the population.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS: Operational Logistics, Asymmetrical, Insurgent, Lebanon, Syria, Iran, Hizbullah, Hezbollah, Israel, Arms Smuggling, Transportation Infrastructure, Lines of Communication, Borders and Internal Security, and the Law of Armed Conflict.					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 34	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Chairman, JMO Dept
a. REPORT UNCLASSIFIED	b. ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED	c. THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code) 401-841-3556

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98)

NAVAL WAR COLLEGE
Newport, R.I.

HIZBULLOGISTICS

The Asymmetrical Application of Logistics in War

by

J. M. Daniel

LCDR, SC, USN

A paper submitted to the Faculty of the Naval War College in partial satisfaction of the requirements of the Department of Joint Military Operations.

Much of the content in this paper reflect my own personal views and are not necessarily endorsed by the Naval War College or the Department of the Navy.

Signature: _____

30 April 2010

Table of Contents

I.	Introduction	1
II.	The Procurement of Arms	2
III.	Transportation and Dispersion	4
IV.	The Art of Concealment	7
V.	Analytical Conclusion	9
VI.	Recommendations	13
VII.	Final Remarks	18
	End Notes	20
	Bibliography	28

Abstract

This paper analyzes logistics in asymmetrical warfare and finds that the use of unconventional techniques have enabled insurgents to exploit adversaries at the tactical and operational levels of war. It examines the Israeli-Hizbullah War of 2006, as well as other U. S. military operations where insurgents have been successful in acquiring and protecting their weapons and supplies. Additionally, it examines several techniques used to stop the logistical flow of arms to insurgents. Although some of the preventive measures appear somewhat out-dated, they, along with some newer border and seaport security initiatives and capacity building programs, can help provide the stability needed to prevent the spread and use of arms among insurgents while also providing the needed security and protection for the population.

Introduction

Throughout history, insurgents and radical organizations of nearly every size and shape have resorted to at least some form of warfare in order to achieve their objectives, whether they be political, economic, or otherwise. The tactical methods used to support their efforts have and will continue to present a tremendous set of tactical, operational, and strategic challenges to both conventional forces and state governments whose vast capabilities are sometimes restrained by either a lack of resources and the physical environment, or by legal, social, and moral responsibilities. One very important operational function that insurgents, and Hizbullah in particular, have been able to consistently exploit with great success because of those restraints listed above, has been within the all-encompassing realm of logistics.

As defined by the Joint Chiefs of Staff, *logistics* is “planning and executing the movement and support of forces.” This includes the procurement, transportation, distribution, and storage of material and equipment.¹ For insurgents, these core logistical capabilities are accomplished chiefly through asymmetric means. The tactical and logistical methods used by insurgents to disrupt the enemy’s supply chain while maintaining their own has not only allowed them to survive in combat, but has also enabled them to exploit their adversaries at the operational and strategic levels of war. As recently witnessed in Lebanon, much of Hizbullah’s success against Israeli Defense Forces in 2006 can be accredited to their ability to procure a large arsenal of modern weaponry and store them within fortified and strategically sensitive structures.²

Through the analysis of past and present wars, readers will familiarize themselves with the tactical methods utilized by insurgents to overcome conventional forces and achieve their operational and strategic objectives. In so doing, conventional forces might gain some insight as to how certain methods and initiatives could be used to prevent the flow of arms to radical organizations not only in Lebanon, but to all nations unfortunately plagued by insurgency.

The Procurement of Arms

There are many ways by which insurgents procure their weapons. They can find them lying about in the open, they can be given to them by the supporting population, or they can be purchased from various sources. More strikingly, they can even be obtained indirectly through the bad accounting practices of their opponents. Two fairly recent examples of this latter method were discovered by the U. S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) at Multi-National Security Transition Command – Iraq, between the years 2004 and 2005, and at Combined Security Transition Command – Afghanistan (CSTC-A), between the years 2002 and 2008. Together, both commands managed to lose accountability of an estimated 412,000 small arms issued to Iraqi and Afghan security forces. These weapons ranged anywhere from pistols and rifles to grenade launchers and machine guns, and were sometimes sold to insurgents by Iraqi and Afghan Security Forces, or taken by deserters who would later become insurgents themselves.³ However, cases like this are the exception rather than the rule, and steps have since been taken to improve weapons accounting procedures.

Probably the most well-known, and maybe the most effective procurement method used by insurgents is through the use of smuggling. Not to be confused with weapons trafficking, smuggling is a function of logistics concerned with the often illegal shipment of goods from one country to another. These high-dollar assets are usually transported in low quantities via small, non-commercial and commercial air, land, and sea-based vehicles, to and from countries with porous borders and unstable governments, such as Lebanon, Iran, and Syria.⁴

Over the last century, there have been many state governments who have either directly or indirectly smuggled arms and other supplies to insurgents in support of their own agendas. For

example, during the French-Algerian War from 1954-1962, thousands of weapons were smuggled to the *Front de Liberation Nationale* (FLN) from Tunisia to help them in their struggle against the French military.⁵ This was very candidly captured in a statement made by Tunisian President Habib Bourguiba in 1958 when he said “We give the insurgents what help we can, short of going to war...Our position is like that of the U. S. with respect to the Allies during the first years of World War II. We are not belligerents, but we are not neutral either.”⁶

Throughout the Vietnam War, communist Russia and China shipped numerous arms and supplies to the North Vietnamese who would then disperse them to the Vietcong along numerous paths of the Ho Chi Minh Trail, or smuggle them in the lower layers of sampans which sailed up and down the Mekong river.⁷ And today, both Syria and Iran continue this tradition by smuggling arms and other materials to radical organizations such as Hizbullah in Lebanon and Hamas in Palestine, the majority of which arrive via ground shipments from Damascus and marine shipments originating in the Persian Gulf.⁸

Lebanon has been plagued by Syria’s irredentist claims ever since the 1917 Arab revolt.⁹ Since that time, Syria has been supporting sympathetic individuals and organizations inside of Lebanon by providing them with weapons and supplies in the hopes that this would someday lead to the reunification of the two countries. For example, in 1958 during the Eisenhower Administration, U. S. Marines were sent into Lebanon as part of Operation BLUE BAT to support the legally established, western-backed Lebanese government and prevent men, weapons and supplies from entering the country through Syria.¹⁰

Roughly five decades later, just before their war against Israel in 2006, Syrian-supported Hizbullah had accumulated (assumingly from smuggling operations) an inventory of

approximately 12,000 short and long-range rockets.¹¹ According to the Israeli Defense Minister, Ehud Barak, that number has since “tripled in size.”¹²

Like the Palestinian Authority whose 50-ton weapons shipment was intercepted by Israeli Defense Forces onboard the *Karine-A* in 2002,¹³ Hizbullah is also suspected of being the intended recipient of approximately 40 marine containers full of smuggled weapons originating from Iran and seized by the Israelis onboard the vessel *Francop* late last year. All three entities (Iran, Syria, and Hizbullah) were quick to deny they had anything to do with this shipment. However, they were also quick to condemn Israel for the seizure of these materials outside of territorial waters.¹⁴

The smuggling situation along the 199-mile land border between Syria and Lebanon also poses great challenges. Syria refuses to recognize some parts of Lebanon’s borders in spite of the fact that these borders have remained almost exactly the same as they were 2,500 years ago.¹⁵ To complicate matters further, both Hizbullah and Syria have threatened to take action against anyone who tries to prevent weapons from crossing over into Lebanese territory.¹⁶

We must also keep in mind that smuggling, especially in the Levant, doesn’t always take place above the earth’s surface. In October of 2000, Israel discovered an extensive tunnel network running from Egypt to Palestine that contained massive amounts of arms and other munitions.¹⁷ The use of these tunnels, rumored to be in the hundreds, continues to be a problem in this region, with some of the most recent tunnels destroyed by Israeli Defense Forces along the Kerem Shalom border in March of 2010.¹⁸

Transportation and Dispersion

After the insurgents’ weapons have been smuggled into a theater, they must be transported in a manner that either denies or limits their adversaries’ ability to interdict them from the air or

from the ground. This can be accomplished through the use of dispersion and undeveloped theaters.¹⁹ According to Dr. Milan Vego of the U. S. Naval War College, “*undeveloped theaters* have inadequate infrastructures, including the transportation networks needed to provide the support required by the combat forces deployed there [emphasis in original].”²⁰ These types of theaters tend to favor the insurgent, as poor transportation networks often prevent conventional militaries from concentrating their forces, moving their equipment and supplies, and, in effect, places them on equal footing with their enemies.²¹ For example, during the Vietnam War, the Vietcong used everything from pack animals to bicycles to move weapons and supplies along the Ho Chi Minh Trail which American forces found nearly impossible to interdict.²² The Vietcong also attempted to shape their adversary’s lines of communication by forcing villagers at gunpoint to dig numerous holes at night along dirt roads used by American convoys, creating “a slight handicap on the government forces who depend (as guerillas do not) on roads and wheeled vehicles.”²³ Perhaps if this were Iraq or Afghanistan, many of these holes would have initially been filled with Improvised Explosive Devices (IEDs), which would have handicapped conventional forces even further. However, the threat of IEDs in these two countries was significantly reduced simply by paving the roads, which allowed better visibility of IEDs and made it more difficult for insurgents to embed them.²⁴

Professor Moshe Kress, a Professor of Operations Research at the U. S. Naval Postgraduate School and the former Head of Logistics and Combat Modeling Branch at the Center for Military Analyses (CEMA) in Israel, has stated that land-based convoys in general are much more restricted in their ability to maneuver due to the possibility of slow movements caused by breakdowns, congestion, and a lack of road networks; all of which can leave them highly vulnerable to attack.²⁵ According to Dr. Kress, “During the 1982 Lebanon War, the (Israeli) logistics support chain was cut off due to extremely high congestion on the narrow and winding

roads of southern Lebanon... a situation that consequently led to severe shortages in ammunition and other supplies.”²⁶

A couple of years prior to the outbreak of the 2006 war in Lebanon, the Israeli Defense Forces tried to tackle the issues of dispersion and undeveloped theaters through the highly criticized purchase of llamas which were to be relied upon instead of convoys to haul supplies for their ground forces along the various paths of southern Lebanon. Since these animals were found to be unreliable in combat, soldiers were left with the choice of either carrying extra gear or leaving it behind. This not only caused severe shortages of supplies among the troops, once they entered Lebanese territory, they had no other alternative but to break into the local grocery stores for food and water, which, in small wars, is certain to lose the trust and support of the local population.²⁷ In contrast, members of Hizbullah would leave the owners of these stores an itemized list of the supplies they had taken, which they would then quickly pay for shortly afterward.²⁸ These actions follow along closely with the rules and remarks of China’s Eighth Route Army, which, according to Mao Zedong when he addressed the political problems of guerrilla warfare, advised troops to “never steal from the people and always return what they borrow.”²⁹

In hindsight, the supply shortages experienced by Israeli ground forces might have been a blessing as it prevented them from moving deeper into Lebanon where Hizbullah receives overwhelming support from the Shi’a community, who currently make up roughly 40% of the population.³⁰ Had they continued, they might have encountered serious problems if Hizbullah decided to mimic the Fedayeen and Iraqi Republican Guard, who, during Operation IRAQI FREEDOM in the city of Nasiriyah, dispersed their “weapons and uniforms...dressed in civilian clothes, moved from cache to cache, and attacked U. S. Marines before slipping back into the general populace.”³¹

The Art of Concealment

The last logistical method to discuss centers on the concealment of arms. Concealment takes place in a variety of ways and can happen in every phase of the insurgent logistics cycle, from the time weapons are shipped to the time they are delivered. Concealment can include everything from mixing smuggled weapons with civilian cargo, as was the case with the *Francop*, or it can be the simple act of falsifying or forging the documentation used to describe the materials being carried.³²

When smuggled goods arrive at seaports they are usually staged and sorted for distribution. Historically, this has been very advantageous to insurgents because of loose management and customs controls, and what is commonly referred to as “dwell time” or idle cargo time between transit, both of which leave cargo vulnerable to theft by insiders.³³

Once smuggled weapons are picked up from their staging areas, they are often transported to fixed, concealed locations. This is often accomplished using commercial, civilian, and/or other vehicles disguised as having a governmental, business-related, or even a humanitarian purpose such as the Palestinian Red Crescent whose ambulances were sometimes used to transport weapons and even terrorists.³⁴

Weapons don’t always require a fixed location for their storage and concealment. On the contrary, weapons can remain hidden inside of land and sea-based vehicles for mobility in order to avoid detection by conventional forces on the ground. As mentioned previously, cargo sitting idle in one location for an extensive period of time make it not only vulnerable to insurgents, but also allows it to be more easily detected, targeted, and destroyed by conventional forces. However, the use of vehicles for the storage and concealment of weapons can be overcome through the use of airborne moving-target indicators such as the Joint Surveillance and Target Attack Radar System (JSTARS) and the newer Littoral Surveillance Radar Surveillance

(LSRS) system, but only for those governments and militaries that can afford this capability.³⁵

Unfortunately, insurgents have been able to somewhat overcome their adversaries' technological capabilities through what is probably the most strategically complex and controversial method of weapons storage and concealment, which is the use of sensitive targets such as schools, hospitals, and religious structures. With or without casualties, when sensitive targets are destroyed, these destructive activities can be and often are perceived as crimes against humanity. This has the potential to cause considerable damage at the tactical, operational, and strategic levels of war.

For example, radical Serbs and Iraqis during Operations ALLIED FORCE and IRAQI FREEDOM complicated air strikes and intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) by hiding their weapons and supplies within mosques, schools, civilian buildings, and residential areas which would sometimes result in civilian casualties.³⁶

During Operation CHANGE of DIRECTION, the Israeli Air Force bombed a building in the Lebanese village of Qana that was supposedly used by Hizbullah for the storage of weapons, when in fact, it was being used as a shelter. Roughly 54 civilians, many of them children, were killed as a result of the bombing. This incident received wide-spread attention, including condemnation by former UN Secretary-General Kofi Annan.³⁷

A major difference between Hizbullah and the insurgents referred to earlier is that when these structures are targeted and destroyed, Hizbullah goes out of their way to quickly exploit, pay for and even rebuild them in order to keep themselves in good standing within their community. A fairly recent example of this took place during the 2006 Israeli-Hizbullah War, in which Hizbullah helped pay for and rebuild approximately 15,000 partially or totally destroyed homes.³⁸

Analytical Conclusion

The smuggling issues experienced in the Levant region are only a fraction of what is taking place throughout the rest of the world. The United States alone spends roughly \$40B annually on the prevention of smuggling and still can't keep up with the estimated 11,000,000 marine shipping containers brought within her borders each year.³⁹

Yet, even though the United States is having trouble dealing with the issues of smuggling, her policing efforts have so far been able to prevent non-state actors from gaining military and political power within her borders. In contrast, struggling governments, such as that of Lebanon, have been unable politically and militarily to control the spread and use of arms by insurgents, which has in effect, partially enabled Hizbullah to challenge the authority of the United Nations and the Lebanese government as evidenced by their demonstrated refusal to disarm in accordance with UN Resolutions 1559 and 1701.⁴⁰ It could even be argued that Hizbullah's arms have in large part prepared them for a possible coup d'état against the Lebanese government.⁴¹ By using Israel as an excuse to continue receiving smuggled weapons from Syria and Iran, Hizbullah has created a smokescreen for their strategic objective, that of the establishment of an Islamic republic in Lebanon.⁴²

The weapons shipments like those found onboard the vessels *Karine-A* and *Franco* clearly illustrate the gambles organizations such as Hizbullah are willing to take in order to bring weapons onto their shores. Based on Israel's current estimate of Hizbullah's arsenal, the seizure of these two ships have not been enough to prevent the proliferation of arms amongst insurgents in both Lebanon and Palestine.⁴³

The border separating Lebanon from Syria also remains highly susceptible to Hizbullah's control. Although United Nations Interim Forces in Lebanon (UNIFIL), which include a

Maritime Task Force, and the Lebanese Armed Forces, have all increased their efforts to prevent the smuggling of arms, they are restricted in their search for Hizbullah's weapons since these actions could lead to increased tensions inside and along Lebanon's borders.⁴⁴ This has not only allowed Hizbullah to continue bringing weapons into Lebanon, it has forced the Lebanese government to recognize Hizbullah as more of a government-sponsored border patrol to be utilized until the Lebanese Armed Forces are able to provide for Lebanon's security.⁴⁵

Today, unless the Lebanese government receives the external support necessary to counter Syria and Iran's efforts, the Lebanese Armed Forces and Internal Security Forces (comprised of Maronite, Catholic, Orthodox, Sunni, Shi'a, and Druze religions)⁴⁶ will not be in a position to disarm Hizbullah. Diplomatic efforts, if used alone, cannot control the smuggling of arms into Lebanon. They must be used concurrently with the physical severing of Hizbullah's ties to Iran and Syria via their land borders and seaports. Only then can the prevention of arms smuggling to Hizbullah be accomplished, which, in Lebanon, might prove to be just as important as General Sir Gerald Templer's "winning the hearts and minds" of the population. As Mao Zedong so eloquently stated, "power grows out of the barrel of a gun."⁴⁷ Without the guns, Hizbullah's activities are restricted to politics, charity and social reform, and they will no longer be able to act as a "state within a state."⁴⁸ According to Likud Minister Yossi Peled, "Lebanon is the only country in the world which has a military organization, Hezbollah, that operates independently of the government and is supported by two foreign countries (Iran and Syria), while being part of the cabinet."⁴⁹

As stated previously, an undeveloped road network can work to the insurgent's advantage, which may be the reason behind IDF's Head of Technological and Logistics Directorate's, Major General Udi Adam, unpopular decision to prohibit supply convoys in the southern Lebanon

theater of operations in their war against Hezbollah in the summer of 2006.⁵⁰

Because of Hizbullah's tactical ability to disperse arms and supplies, and the lack of IDF's logistical capabilities on the ground, Israel's military leadership may have felt it necessary to totally or partially destroy nearly every bridge in Lebanon, ninety-seven in all, in order to isolate Hizbullah and cut-off their lines of communication.⁵¹ Although Israel's massive air strikes against Lebanon's bridges and roads did cut-off lines of communication, they failed to destroy Hizbullah's arsenal and prevented humanitarian aid from reaching the hundreds of thousands of displaced Lebanese citizens in need.⁵² The resultant damage would have kept Israeli ground forces from gaining the access it needed to enter southern Lebanon should they have decided to pursue any additional weapons remaining. Hizbullah had been stockpiling massive quantities of supplies, provisions, modern weapons and equipment for many years before the outbreak of their 2006 war with Israel, much of which were stored in dispersed, fortified structures both above and below ground throughout Lebanon. Thus, this enabled Hizbullah to remain well supplied both during and after Israeli air strikes.⁵³

Based on the previous examples, it is clear that insurgents will go to great lengths to keep their weapons hidden from conventional forces, even if that means storing them in densely populated areas or in places with historical or ideological significance. Additionally, these weapons and explosives are often stowed unsafely, which puts innocent lives at stake, and not just by the insurgents.⁵⁴ Even if conventional forces use Precision Guided Munitions (PGM) sparingly to limit the amount of structural damage to these targets, the potential for civilian deaths and destruction is magnified due to the often unknown amount of explosives they contain.

Therefore, the use of sensitive targets for the storage of weapons and supplies is probably the most effective method insurgents can use to gain a strategic advantage over their adversaries. Any attack on residential, historic, or religious targets could result in an increased number of

insurgents and the wrong message being conveyed to the people conventional forces are trying hard to protect. This is why International Security Assistance Forces (ISAF) and United States Forces – Afghanistan (USFOR-A) were recently issued a tactical directive by NATO’s ISAF Commander, General Stanley McChrystal, severely limiting “air-to-ground munitions and indirect fires against residential compounds” and prohibiting them from “entering, firing upon or into a mosque or any religious or historical site except in self-defense.”⁵⁵

Insurgents have made every effort to perfect the irregular methods used in the procurement, transportation, distribution, and storage of their weapons and supplies; to the point where it has become almost doctrinal. The continuous proliferation of arms by Hizbullah, even before their war with Israel in the summer of 2006, has in part given them sway over the Lebanese government. For example:

When (Lebanese) Prime Minister Fuad Siniora threatened to raise the issue of disarming Hezbollah by calling for a full examination of all the activities that led to (Former Lebanese Prime Minister) Hariri’s assassination, (Hezbollah Secretary-General) Hassan Nasrallah removed Hezbollah’s five ministers from the Lebanese cabinet and made it clear that Lebanese political stability was at stake. As a result, in early February 2006, the Lebanese government designated Hezbollah as a “resistance movement” to Israel, allowing it to operate as a paramilitary force and keep its arms.⁵⁶

Hizbullah’s newfound influence on Lebanese politics prevented the government from taking action against them. Israel would attempt to physically disarm Hizbullah only five months later. However, the massive damage inflicted on Lebanon’s transportation network and infrastructure by Israeli Air Forces during the Israeli-Hizbullah War of 2006 was so extensive that it somewhat tarnished Israel’s reputation within the eyes of the non-arab, international community.⁵⁷

Ultimately, the rampant smuggling activities by an ungovernable, non-state actor and their foreign suppliers has not only damaged the Lebanese government’s credibility, but has further destabilized the region. So what can be done to help weak governments like Lebanon disarm its

militias and achieve that level of security and stability needed within their borders? Some notable foreign affairs analysts have suggested that attempts be made to convince Hizbullah that their interests would be better served through the use of politics rather than the accumulation of arms. That Israel's possible "withdrawal from the Shebaa Farms along with their agreement to refrain from attacking Lebanon" might be enough to persuade Hizbullah to demilitarize, while also placing Lebanon in a favorable position to begin receiving military aid and support from the United States.⁵⁸ However, Israel's disproportionate attacks during their war with Hizbullah in 2006, and their more recent refusal to cease building in occupied East Jerusalem, has created much distrust for Israel and their long-perceived ally the United States among the Lebanese and Palestinian populations, many of whom are now convinced that Hizbullah is, in essence, a "necessary evil" in their defense against them.⁵⁹

These are obviously complex problems for which there are no easy solutions. However, as we shall see, there are a number of methods that have been and are currently being utilized to disrupt the flow of arms to radical groups and insurgents. With the help of the international community, the implementation of these methods might be just enough to enable struggling governments like that of Lebanon get back on their feet until they are able to provide for the safety and well-being of their entire population, most of whom wish nothing more than the opportunity to live and prosper in a secure and peaceful environment.

Recommendations

No single element of national power can resolve the smuggling problems of the Levant if they are to be used alone. It had been suggested that the United States "impose economic sanctions on Lebanon" until the government disarms Hizbullah and arrests some of their key figures for

engaging in arms smuggling and contributing to global terrorism.⁶⁰ However, it is very difficult to impose sanctions on a war-torn country with one of the highest Debt-to-GDP ratios in the world and a struggling Lebanese government whose been left with no alternative but to include Hizbullah as part of their National Security Strategy.⁶¹

Diplomacy can also play an important role in reducing the amount weapons smuggled to insurgents, unless faced by states that continue to defy the wishes of the international community.⁶² Unfortunately for Lebanon, the regional powers of both Syria and Iran fall into this category. Therefore, in order to disrupt the flow of arms to Hizbullah, one would need to physically cut-off Syria and Iran's access to Lebanon's seaports and borders. This, of course, would have to be accomplished with minimal impact on the trade necessary to sustain the Lebanese economy and in a manner that does not leave her vulnerable to another Syrian or Israeli invasion.⁶³

Once the seaports and borders are secured, unless the country in which the insurgents reside has the resources to locally manufacture arms, the problems caused by the dispersion and concealment of weapons should eventually cease to exist so long as any remaining weapons are confiscated, used up, or destroyed. Lebanon, roughly the size of Connecticut, has neither the industrial nor the financial capacity required to independently manufacture weapons on a scale large enough to rival conventional forces, especially under the watchful eyes of Israel, leaving Hizbullah no other choice but to rely upon external sources for support.⁶⁴

Earlier it was mentioned that the Soviet, Chinese, and Tunisian governments had smuggled numerous weapons to insurgents during the French-Algerian and Vietnam Wars. However, these smuggling issues had been effectively resolved, at least temporarily, through the implementation and construction of land and sea barriers.⁶⁵

In addition to maritime interdiction operations, in which the French Navy intercepted a Yugoslavian ship (the *Slovenija*) carrying 148 tons of arms destined for use by the F.L.N , the French constructed a 200-mile border fence known as the “Morice Line” along Algeria’s border with Tunisia. This extremely powerful electrified fence, sandwiched by mines and concertina wire and patrolled by roughly 80,000 armed troops with searchlights, prevented an estimated “6,000 men and 4,300 weapons” from crossing into Algeria.⁶⁶

During the Vietnam War, the United States executed a very successful mine-laying operation in support of maritime interdiction operations against the North Vietnamese at Haiphong, which significantly slowed the shipment of arms to Vietcong.⁶⁷ Obviously mine-laying off the coast of Lebanon would be a last resort as it is a time-consuming and costly operation. Increased vessel boarding and port security measures would be more appropriate initially so as to not affect trade.

Currently, there are a number of border fences undergoing construction in many regions of the world in an effort to curtail smuggling operations and provide security within a nation’s borders. Egypt is currently constructing a high-grade, steel fence along its border with Gaza, which will run for approximately seven miles and extend roughly 50ft below the earth’s surface in order to eliminate the movement of arms through underground tunnels.⁶⁸

Lebanon’s border with Syria, almost exactly the same length as the “Morice Line” at roughly 200 miles, is sparsely populated with approximately 90% of Lebanese citizens concentrated in the cities near the Mediterranean coastline.⁶⁹ Although this leaves the border susceptible to smuggling operations, if a border fence were to be constructed, it would be located away from most Lebanese and Syrian citizens, reducing the possibility of civilian casualties if conflict were to break out with Hizbullah and Syria.

The United States is already engaged in boosting Lebanon’s policing efforts by funding and providing training for the Lebanese Internal Security Forces (ISF) through the U. S. Capacity

Building Program.⁷⁰ But Iran's continued efforts to smuggle weapons into Lebanon and Palestine via cargo ship could also be challenged if programs such as the U. S. Customs Service sponsored Cargo Security Initiative (CSI) and Secure Freight Initiatives (SFI) were implemented in Lebanese seaports. Both of these programs are aimed at strengthening the security of the maritime supply chain through the creation of country partnerships and intelligence sharing, as well as the use of gamma, x-ray, and radiation detection equipment. Of the 58 members currently participating in CSI, the only Middle Eastern ports that have agreements with the United States are located in Oman, UAE, and Israel.⁷¹ In addition to these initiatives, there would also have to be some additional measures taken to physically prevent the use of illegal ports, which haunted Lebanon in the 1980s.⁷²

These preventive measures are not meant to be a "one size fits all" solution to the problems in Lebanon, only to illustrate that there are a multitude of options available that can help combat the smuggling of arms and other materials to insurgents. With tensions on the rise over both Iran's and Syria's nuclear ambitions, and "war council" meetings taking place between Syria's President Bashar Al-Asad, Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad, and Hizbullah's Secretary-General Hassan Nasrallah, it is a very real possibility that Hizbullah will attempt to make waves both within and outside of Lebanon if Syria or Iran were to be challenged.⁷³

Other additional benefits to securing Lebanon's borders include the increased isolation of Syria and the strain this puts on her relationship with both Iran and Hizbullah, the prevention of smugglers and terrorists from crossing the border in search of sanctuary, and the attraction of the fence-line itself which tends to draw-out the enemy as seen during the French-Algerian War of 1954-1962.⁷⁴ The construction of a border fence similar to Egypt's could also increase ownership and help decrease unemployment (which ranged between 20-30% in 2008) inside of Lebanon while serving as a national symbol of Lebanon's independence, an important fact to

consider for a country and a people who, for more than two thousand years, have been continuously overrun by powers emanating from both the east and the west.⁷⁵

Once land and sea barriers have been established, that leaves only one other smuggling method for insurgents to consider and that is the use of aircraft. Lebanon has only four airports, all of which have limited capacity and could easily be monitored and secured.⁷⁶

If arms happen to cross within Lebanon's borders, conventional forces would need a transportation network suitable enough to pursue them and accommodate their logistics tail. That said, instead of destroying transportation networks, as was done to Lebanon by Israel in 2006, every effort should be made to build, maintain, and improve them.⁷⁷ This would not only ease the pursuit of insurgents and their weapons, it would hasten stability and humanitarian operations within the affected country or community. This is illustrated by Dr. Bard O'Neill, Professor of International Affairs at the National War College, when he stated that "The relatively dense road and rail networks of the Congo helped make it possible for United Nations and Congolese forces to suppress a number of regional rebellions between 1961 and 1966; the lack of comparable facilities has contributed to the inability of the Sudanese army to control the Anya-nya rebellion in the southern Sudan."⁷⁸

Once weapons have made it to their concealed locations, they are very difficult to locate, target, and destroy, especially if they are being stored in culturally, historically, and religiously significant structures. Even if they are found, because of their strategic importance, they might still be placed on the "No Strike List" which protects them under the Law of Armed Conflict (LOAC), International Law, and/or the Rules of Engagement (ROE).⁷⁹ This only reiterates the importance of either seizing arms before they arrive to such areas or immediately after they have left them enroute to the frontlines.

Unfortunately for those around them, insurgents often do not have a firm grasp on the proper

stowage of munitions and explosives. For example, two of Hizbullah's arms depots supposedly exploded within south Lebanon in July and October of 2009, which led Israel to protest the United Nations for Hizbullah's violations of UN Resolution 1701.⁸⁰ However, since insurgents' weapons rarely destroy themselves, there needs to be some other method or doctrine implemented that can be used to prevent, locate and confiscate these weapons and/or munitions without injuring and killing civilians or causing structural damage to strategically sensitive sites. To accomplish this, the information on the location of the insurgents' weapons needs to be obtained from the local population, which is often made more difficult by the insurgent practice of concealing weapons caches and assembly plants from the insurgents themselves in order to avoid detection by governments and conventional forces.⁸¹

Ideally, the best defense against the problems of weapons concealment is physically preventing them from falling into the hands of the insurgents altogether. Conventional forces have already begun taking steps to mitigate the risks posed by targeting strategically sensitive structures as evidenced by General McChrystal's recent tactical directive, but this may not be enough. Should we find it necessary to continue engaging these targets, we should seriously consider the exclusive use of less lethal weapons, such as riot control agents (RCA), to weed-out insurgents and avoid the killing of innocents and/or damage to the cultural, religious, and historical sites being used to store the insurgents' weapons, munitions, and other supplies.

Final Remarks

Although some effort has been made to combat the asymmetric application of logistics by insurgents, conventional forces still tend to become their own worst enemy; unable to counter them effectively without using up costly resources, damaging infrastructure, and putting innocent lives in danger. Despite considerable advances in technology, some of the very same logistical methods used by insurgents more than fifty years ago are still being utilized today, and with

great success. The insurgent's use of "Hizbullogistics" has become a doctrinal recipe used to wear down conventional forces, procure and protect weapons and supplies, and exploit their adversaries at every level of war.

Hizbullah has not only managed to achieve their tactical objectives, they have effectively used this methodology to gain power over the Lebanese government and defy the majority of the international community. Based on the outcome of the Israeli-Hizbullah War of 2006, it is reasonable for us to expect that other radical organizations who apply the same combination of methods to their own operations, will begin to emerge more frequently and enjoy similar successes. This is especially true if certain economic, social, and political issues haven't been addressed and no security measures have been taken to provide for the security of the population.

As previously discussed, there are a number of options available to both leaders and operational commanders seeking to interdict the insurgents' external sources of supply while protecting their own supply chains, and more importantly, the lives of innocent civilians. However, if we fail to act on them by not taking the additional measures necessary to prevent smuggling efforts and the use of sensitive targets for the storage of weapons in order to create and maintain the security and stability needed within and along a nation's borders, radical organizations such as those previously discussed will continue to emerge...forever remaining a threat to both their country and the international community.

Notes

1. Joint Chiefs of Staff, *Joint Publication 4-0, Joint Logistics*. U. S. Department of Defense, Washington D. C.: (July 18, 2008): GL-8.
2. The Library of Congress Congressional Research Service, Report for Congress, Order Code RL33566. *Lebanon: The Israel-Hezbollah-Hamas Conflict*. Jeremy M. Sharp, Coordinator, Foreign Affairs, Defense, and Trade Division (September 15, 2006), CRS-10.
3. Glenn Kessler, "Weapons Given to Iraq Are Missing: GAO Estimates 30% of Arms Are Unaccounted For," *The Washington Post*, August 6, 2007, www.washingtonpost.com/wp-yn/content/article/2007/08/05/AR2007080501299.html (accessed April 13, 2010); Charles M. Johnson, Director International Affairs and Trade, "Afghanistan Security: Corrective Actions Are Needed to Address Serious Accountability Concerns about Weapons Provided to Afghan National Security Forces," *Government Accountability Office (GAO)*, February 12, 2009, www.gao.gov/new.items/d09366t.pdf (accessed April 2, 2010).
4. Douglas Long, *International Logistics: Global Supply Chain Management* (Norwell, MA: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2003), 317-319.
5. Alistair Horne, *A Savage War of Peace: Algeria 1954-1962* (New York: New York Review of Books, 2006), 263-266.
6. "Short of War," *TIME Magazine*, March 3, 1958, www.time.com/time/magazine/article/0,9171,893851,00.html#ixzz0iMa9TPnc (accessed March 16, 2010).
7. Commander (Senior Grade) Ulrik Luckow, Royal Danish Navy, "Victory Over Ignorance and Fear: The U. S. Minelaying Attack on North Vietnam," *Naval War College Review*, Vol. 35, Jan-Feb 1982, 17-18; Thomas M. Kane, *Military Logistics and Strategic Performance* (London: Frank Cass Publishers, 2001), 92.
8. Alistair Harris, "Bordering on the Impossible: Securing Lebanon's Borders with Syria." *Royal United Services Institute for Independent Thinking on Defence and Security (RUSI) Journal*, 152, 5, Military Module, (Oct 2007), 40-41; Abbas William Samii, "A Stable Structure on Shifting Sands: Assessing the Hizbullah-Iran-Syria Relationship," *Middle East Journal*, 62.1 (2008), 32.
9. Anoushiravan Ehteshami and Raymond A. Hinnebusch, *Syria and Iran: Middle Powers in a Penetrated Regional System* (New York: Routledge Publishers, 1997), 57.
10. Jack Shulimson, *Marines in Lebanon: 1958*, Historical Branch, G-3 Division, Headquarters, U. S. Marine Corps (Washington, D. C., 1966), 5 and 8.
11. Augustus Richard Norton, *Hezbollah* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2007), 135.

12. Tim Butcher, "Israel: Hizbollah arsenal has tripled in size." *The Telegraph*, November 25, 2008, www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/middleeast/israel/3518150/Israel-Hizbollah-arsenal-has-tripled-in-size.html (accessed February 10, 2010).
13. Samii, "A Stable Structure on Shifting Sands: Assessing the Hizbullah-Iran-Syria Relationship," 45; Israeli Ministry of Foreign Affairs, "Seizing of the Palestinian Weapons Ship Karine-A Photos," January 4, 2002. www.mfa.gov.il/MFA/MFAArchive/2000_2009/2002/1/Seizing%20of%20the%20Palestinian%20weapons%20ship%20Karine%20A- (accessed March 22, 2010).
14. "Hizbullah Denies Link to Arms Ship Seized By Israel," *Thomson Reuters (Beirut)*, 5 November 2009, www.reuters.com/article/idUSTRE5A416L20091105 (accessed March 19, 2010).
15. Harris, "Bordering on the Impossible: Securing Lebanon's Borders with Syria," 41; Sanford Holst, *Phoenicians: Lebanon's Epic Heritage* (Los Angeles: Cambridge and Boston Press, 2005), 291.
16. Harris, "Bordering on the Impossible: Securing Lebanon's Borders with Syria," 41.
17. Anthony H. Cordesman, *Arab-Israeli Military Forces in an Era of Asymmetric Wars* (Stanford: Stanford University Press and the Center for Strategic and International Studies, Washington, D.C., 2008), 84.
18. "Egypt Destroys Tunnel Under Gaza Border to Curb Smuggling," *Haaretz Newspaper, Online Edition*, March 21, 2010. www.haaretz.com/hasen/spages/1157862.html (accessed April 26, 2010); "Egypt Defends Gaza Wall," *Al Jazeera*, December 22, 2009. <http://english.aljazeera.net/news/middleeast/2009/12/20091222134531894506.html> (accessed March 21, 2010).
19. Carl von Clausewitz, *On War*, trans. and ed. Michael Howard and Peter Paret (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1976), 480-481; Raj Desai and Harry Eckstein "Insurgency: The Transformation of Peasant Rebellion," *World Politics*, Vol. 42, No. 4, (July 1990), 442. www.jstor.org/stable/2010510 (accessed April 15, 2010).
20. Milan N. Vego, *Joint Operational Warfare: Theory and Practice* (Newport: U. S. Naval War College, 2009), IV-6.
21. Bard O'Neill, *Insurgency & Terrorism: From Revolution to Apocalypse* (Dulles, VA: Potomac Books Publishers, 2005), 72.
22. Thomas M. Kane, *Military Logistics and Strategic Performance* (London: Frank Cass Publishers, 2001), 91 & 97.
23. W. H. Hessler, "Studies in Guerrilla Warfare: Guerrilla Warfare Is Different," *U. S. Naval Institute Press* (1964), 9.

24. David Kilcullen, *The Accidental Guerrilla: Fighting Small Wars in the Midst of a Big One* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2009), 99.
25. Moshe Kress, *Operational Logistics: The Art and Science of Sustaining Military Operations* (Norwell, MA: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2002), 193-194.
26. Ibid., 64.
27. Amos Harel and Avi Issacharoff, *34 Days* (New York: Palgrave MacMillan Publishers, 2008), 179 and 275.
28. Norton, *Hezbollah*, 140.
29. Mao Tse-Tung, *On Guerrilla Warfare*. Translated and published with an introduction by Samuel B. Griffith, Brigadier General, USMC (Ret). Fleet Marine Force Reference Publication (FMFRP) 12-18, (1961), 92.
30. Steven Simon and Jonathan Stevenson, "Disarming Hezbollah: Advancing Regional Stability," *Foreign Affairs Magazine*, January 11, 2010. www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/65921/steven-simon-and-jonathan-stevenson/disarming-hezbollah (accessed April 5, 2010).
31. Brad Knickerbocker, "On two 'fronts,' the war grinds on," *Christian Science Monitor*, March 31, 2003, www.csmonitor.com/2003/0331/p01s01-woiq.html (accessed February 26, 2010).
32. "Israelis 'Seize Iran Arms Ship'," *British Broadcasting Corporation (BBC)*, November 4, 2009. <http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/8341737.stm> (accessed March 20, 2010); Douglas Long, *International Logistics: Global Supply Chain Management* (Norwell, MA: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2003), 321.
33. Long, *International Logistics: Global Supply Chain Management*, 312.
34. Israeli Ministry of Health, "Report to the Fifty-Sixth World Health Assembly of the World Health Organization: The Relationship Between The Israeli Ministry of Health and the Palestinian Health Authority, 2002-2003," Provisional Agenda Item 19, A56/INF.DOC./6, May 16, 2003. http://apps.who.int/gb/archive/pdf_files/WHA56/ea56id6.pdf (accessed April 5, 2010).
35. Long, *International Logistics: Global Supply Chain Management*, 312; Richard R. Burgess, "Scanning the Littoral: Details Emerge About New P-3C Orion Surveillance Radar," *Seapower Magazine* (March 2008), 60. <http://www.seapower-digital.com/seapower/200803?pg=62#pg62> (accessed April 5, 2009).

36. Derek S. Reveron, "Coalition Warfare: The Commander's Role," *Defense & Security Analysis*, Vol. 18, No. 2, (2002), 112; John Yurechko, "Iraqi Deception and Denial," *U. S. State Department Briefing*, October 8, 2002. <http://www.defense.gov/transcripts/transcript.aspx?transcriptid=3787> (accessed March 30, 2010); *Information Operations: Warfare and the Hard Reality of Soft Power*, ed. Leigh Armistead (Washington, D.C.: Potomac Books, Inc., 2004), 156.
37. Kofi Annan, United Nations Secretary-General, "Secretary-General Urges Security Council to Condemn Israeli Attack on Qana, Call for Immediate Cessation of Hostilities in Statement to Emergency Meeting," United Nations, SG/SM/10580, SC/8790, July 30, 2006. <http://www.un.org/News/Press/docs/2006/sgsm10580.doc.htm> (accessed April 13, 2010).
38. Joseph Alagha, "The Israeli-Hizbullah 34-Day War," *Arab Studies Quarterly*, Volume 30, Number 2, (Spring 2008), 6.
39. Long, *International Logistics: Global Supply Chain Management*, 319; "How Cargo Flows Securely to the U.S.," *U. S. Customs and Border Protection*, March 28, 2008. http://www.cbp.gov/linkhandler/cgov/trade/cargo_security/cargo_control/cargo_flow_map.ctt/cargo_flow_map.pdf (accessed April 15, 2010).
40. Long, *International Logistics: Global Supply Chain Management*; 319; "Lebanon Defence Talks Adjourned," *Al-Jazeera.net*, March 9, 2010. <http://english.aljazeera.net/news/middleeast/2010/03/201039143257811559.html> (accessed April 5, 2010); "Lebanon: 2009 Country Review," *Country Watch Review*, ed. Dr. Denise Youngblood Coleman, (Houston, TX, 2009 Edition), 26. <http://www.countrywatch.com>; United Nations, "Security Council Resolutions 1559 (2004) dated September 2, 2004, and 1701 (2006) dated August 11, 2006," *United Nations Security Council*. <http://www.un.org/News/Press/docs/2006/sc8808.doc.htm> and <http://daccess-dds-ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/N04/498/92/PDF/N0449892.pdf?OpenElement> (accessed April 5, 2010).
41. David C. Welch, US Assistant Secretary of State for Near East Affairs, "The Political Situation in Lebanon," Testimony given before the US House of Representative's Foreign Affairs Subcommittee on the Middle East and South Asia," April 18, 2007. <http://foreignaffairs.house.gov/110/wel041807.htm> (accessed April 25, 2010).
42. Hussain Abdul-Hussain, "Hezbollah: A State Within The State," *Current Trends in Islamist Ideology*, Vol. 8, p. 70, Hudson Institute, Washington, D.C., 2009. <http://www.currenttrends.org> (accessed April 4, 2010).

43. Israeli Ministry of Foreign Affairs, "Seizing of the Palestinian Weapons Ship Karine-A: Photos," January 4, 2002. http://www.mfa.gov.il/MFA/MFAArchive/2000_2009/2002/1/Seizing%20of%20the%20Palestinian%20weapons%20ship%20Karine%20A- (accessed March 22, 2010); "Hizbullah Denies Link to Arms Ship Seized By Israel," *Thomson Reuters (Beirut)*, November 5, 2009. <http://www.reuters.com/article/idUSTRE5A416L20091105> (accessed March 19, 2010); Tim Butcher, "Israel: Hizbollah arsenal has tripled in size," *The Telegraph*, November 25, 2008. <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/middleeast/israel/3518150/Israel-Hizbollah-arsenal-has-tripled-in-size.html> (accessed February 10, 2010).
44. Harris, "Bordering on the Impossible: Securing Lebanon's Borders with Syria," 40.
45. Augustus Richard Norton, "Why Hizbullah is Winning," *The Middle East Journal*, 61, 1, ProQuest Military Collection, (Winter 2007), 146.
46. "Jane's Sentinal Security Assessments - Lebanese Armed Forces," November 30, 2009, *Jane's HIS (Global) Limited*. <http://www2.janes.com/public/login.html> (accessed February 11, 2010).
47. Gavin Bulloch, "Military Doctrine and Counterinsurgency: A British Perspective," (Carlisle, PA: *Parameters*, Summer 1996), 4; Toshi Yoshihara, "Mao Zedong's Strategic Thought," Lecture given at the U. S. Naval War College, November 3, 2009.
48. Hussain Abdul-Hussain, "Hezbollah: A State Within The State," *Current Trends in Islamist Ideology*, Vol. 8, pg. 70, Washington, D.C., Hudson Institute Publications (2009). www.currenttrends.org (accessed April 4, 2010).
49. Barak Ravid, "Netanyahu: Israel Has No Plans To Attack Lebanon," *Haaretz Newspaper, Online Edition*, January 23, 2010. www.haaretz.com/hasen/spages/1144513.html (accessed April 4, 2010).
50. Amos Harel and Avi Issacharoff, *34 Days* (New York: Palgrave MacMillan Publishers, 2008), 178-179; List of IDF General Staff Officers provided by the Jewish Virtual Library, A Division of the American-Israeli Cooperative Enterprise, [www.jewishvirtuallibrary.org/jsource/Society_&_Culture/staff.html](http://www.jewishvirtuallibrary.org/jsource/Society_%26_Culture/staff.html) (accessed February 26, 2010).
51. Sultan Barakat and Steven A. Zyck with Jenny E. Hunt, "Housing Compensation and Disaster Preparedness in the Aftermath of the July 2006 War in South Lebanon," *Norwegian Refugee Council and the Post-War Reconstruction & Development Unit from the University of York* (Beirut, December 2008), 5-6. www.internal-displacement.org (accessed April 5, 2010).

52. "UN Calls for End to IDF Attacks that Hinder Relief Aid," *Office of the Prime Minister on Recovery Efforts in Lebanon*, (August 8, 2006). www.rebuildlebanon.gov.lb/english/f/NewsArticle.asp?CNewsID=114 (accessed April 5, 2010).
53. Jeremy M. Sharp, Coordinator, Foreign Affairs, Defense, and Trade Division, "Lebanon: The Israel-Hezbollah-Hamas Conflict, Report for Congress, Order Code RL33566," *Congressional Research Service*, The Library of Congress (September 15, 2006), CRS-10.
54. Israeli Ministry of Foreign Affairs, "Israel Protests to UN: Hizbullah Arms Storage Facility," October 13, 2009. www.mfa.gov.il/MFA/Foreign+Relations/Israel+and+the+UN/Issues/Israel_protests_UN_Hizbullah_arms_13-Oct-2009 (accessed February 20, 2009).
55. General Stanley McChrystal, USA, "Tactical Directive dated July 6, 2009," Headquarters – International Security Assistance Force, Kabul, Afghanistan. www.nato.int/isaf/docu/official_texts/Tactical_Directive_090706.pdf (accessed April 5, 2010).
56. Cordesman, *Arab-Israeli Military Forces in an Era of Asymmetric Wars*, 262; David Ignatius, "Muslim Radicals in Power," *The Washington Post*, February 3, 2006, A15, A19. www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2006/02/02/AR2006020201586.html (accessed April 6, 2010).
57. Barakat, Zyck, and Hunt, "Housing Compensation and Disaster Preparedness in the Aftermath of the July 2006 War in South Lebanon," 6; Sarah E. Kreps, "The 2006 Lebanon War: Lessons Learned," *Parameters*, Spring 2007, 79-81, www.carlisle.army.mil/USAWC/Parameters/07spring/kreps.pdf (accessed April 6, 2010).
58. Simon and Stevenson, "Disarming Hezbollah: Advancing Regional Stability," 1-2.
59. "Netanyahu Refuses to Budge over Jerusalem Construction," *British Broadcasting Corporation (BBC)*, April 23, 2010. http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/middle_east/8638895.stm (April 24, 2010); Hicham Safieddine, "U. S. Aid Dependency: The Road to Ruin," *Electronic Lebanon*, October 5, 2007. <http://electronicintifada.net/v2/article9024.shtml> (accessed April 24, 2010).
60. Robert Satloff, "Karine A: The Strategic Implications of Iranian-Palestinian Collusion," *The Washington Institute for Near East Policy*, Policy Watch 593, Washington, D. C., January 15, 2002. <http://www.washingtoninstitute.org/templateC05.php?CID=1471> (accessed April 25, 2010).
61. "Program Note: Lebanon: 29 December 2009," *International Monetary Fund*. <http://www.imf.org/external/np/country/notes/lebanon.htm> (accessed 10 April 2010); "Lebanon Defence Talks Adjourned," *Al Jazeera*, March 9, 2010. <http://english.aljazeera.net/news/middleeast/2010/03/201039143257811559.html> (accessed April 25, 2010).

62. Khaled Yacoub Oweis, "Syria and Iran Defy Clinton in Show of Unity," *ABC News*, February 25, 2010. <http://abcnews.go.com/International/wireStory?id=9935893> (accessed April 11, 2010).
63. Long, *International Logistics: Global Supply Chain Management*, 317.
64. "Lebanon – Party of God," *PBS Frontline World*, WGBH Educational Foundation, (May 2003). www.pbs.org/frontlineworld/stories/lebanon/facts.html (accessed March 26, 2010); U. S. Central Intelligence Agency, "World Fact Book: Lebanon." www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/geos/le.html (accessed April 11, 2010).
65. Horne, *A Savage War of Peace: Algeria 1954-1962*, 261 and 266; Luckow, "Victory Over Ignorance and Fear: The U. S. Minelaying Attack on North Vietnam," 25.
66. Horne, *A Savage War of Peace: Algeria 1954-1962*, 261 and 266; Harris, "Bordering on the Impossible: Securing Lebanon's Borders with Syria," 40-44.
67. Luckow, "Victory Over Ignorance and Fear: The U. S. Minelaying Attack on North Vietnam," 25.
68. Christian Fraser, "Egypt Starts Building Steel Wall on Gaza Strip Border," *British Broadcasting Corporation (BBC)* Cairo, December 9, 2009. <http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/8405020.stm> (accessed March 21, 2010).
69. Similarity in length between the Morice Line and the Lebanese-Syrian Border were calculated using measurements provided on p. 263 of Alistair Horne's work, *A Savage War of Peace: Algeria 1954-1962*, in which he stated the length of the Morice Line to be approximately 200 miles, and then comparing this number to the length of the Lebanese-Syrian border found on p. 41 of Alistair Harris's work "Bordering on the Impossible: Securing Lebanon's Border with Syria," in which he stated the Lebanese border with Syria to be 320km, or approximately 199 miles; Terry Carter, Lara Dunston, and Andrew Humphreys, *Lonely Planet: Syria and Lebanon*, (Footscray, Australia: Lonely Planet Publications, 2004), 42.
70. U. S. Department of State, "U. S. Assistant Secretary of State David Johnson Visits Beirut – Meets with the ISF Command," (Washington, D. C., January 11, 2010). <http://www.state.gov/r/pa/prs/ps/2010/01/135055.htm> (accessed April 10, 2010).
71. U. S. Department of Homeland Security, "Container Security Initiative Ports," http://www.dhs.gov/files/programs/gc_1165872287564.shtm (accessed April 3, 2010).
72. Fawwaz Traboulsi, *A History of Modern Lebanon* (London: Pluto Press, 2007), 232.

73. "Israel and Syria Announce Nuclear Energy Ambitions," British Broadcast Corporation (BBC), March 9, 2010. <http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/8558160.stm> (accessed April 3, 2010); Abdel Bari Atwan, "War Council in Damascus," *Middle East Monitor*, March 1, 2010. <http://www.middleeastmonitor.org.uk/articles/middle-east/729-war-council-in-damascus> (accessed April 3, 2010).
74. Hessler, "Studies in Guerrilla Warfare: Guerrilla Warfare Is Different," 17; Alistair Horne, *A Savage War of Peace: Algeria 1954-1962* (New York: New York Review of Books, 2006), 264.
75. David Kilcullen, *The Accidental Guerrilla: Fighting Small Wars in the Midst of a Big One* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2009), 93; Barakat, Zyck, and Hunt, "Housing Compensation and Disaster Preparedness in the Aftermath of the July 2006 War in South Lebanon," 6; Sanford Holst, *Phoenicians: Lebanon's Epic Heritage* (Los Angeles: Cambridge and Boston Press, 2005), 2.
76. Vatche Mitilian, "The Independent Guide to the Lebanese Air Force," <http://www.lebaneseairforce.info/index.htm> (accessed April 2, 2010).
77. Joseph Alagha, "The Israeli-Hizbullah 34-Day War," 3.
78. Bard O'Neill, *Insurgency and Terrorism: From Revolution to Apocalypse*, 77.
79. United States Joint Forces Command, "Joint Fires and Targeting Handbook," (October 19, 2007), I-17. http://www.dtic.mil/doctrine/doctrine/jwfc/jntfiretar_hdbk.pdf, (accessed April 2, 2010).
80. Israeli Ministry of Foreign Affairs, "Israel Portests to UN: Hizbullah Arms Storage Facility," October 13, 2009, http://www.mfa.gov.il/MFA/Foreign+Relations/Israel+and+the+UN/Issues/Israel_protests_UN_Hizbullah_arms_13-Oct-2009 (February 20, 2009).
81. Abraham Guillen, "Urban Guerilla Strategy," *Voices of Terror: Manifestos, Writings, and Manuals of Al-Queda, Hamas, and Other Terrorists from Around the World and Throughout the Ages*, ed. Walter Laquer (Reed Press, 2004), 380.

Bibliography

- Abdul-Hussain, Hussain. "Hezbollah: A State Within The State." *Current Trends in Islamist Ideology*, Vol. 8. Washington, D.C.: Hudson Institute, (2009), 70. <http://www.currenttrends.org> (accessed April 4, 2010).
- Alagha, Joseph. "The Israeli-Hizbullah 34-Day War." *Arab Studies Quarterly*, Volume 30, Number 2, (Spring 2008), 3-6.
- Al Jazeera English. "Egypt Defends Gaza Wall." December 22, 2009. <http://english.aljazeera.net/news/middleeast/2009/12/20091222134531894506.html> (accessed March 21, 2010).
- "Lebanon Defence Talks Adjourned." March 9, 2010. <http://english.aljazeera.net/news/middleeast/2010/03/201039143257811559.html>. (accessed April 5, 2010).
- Annan, Kofi, United Nations Secretary-General. SG/SM/10580, SC/8790. "Secretary-General Urges Security Council to Condemn Israeli Attack on Qana, Call for Immediate Cessation of Hostilities, in Statement to Emergency Meeting." July 30, 2006. <http://www.un.org/News/Press/docs/2006/sgsm10580.doc.htm> (accessed April 13, 2010).
- Atwan, Abdel Bari. "War Council in Damascus." *Middle East Monitor*. March 1, 2010. <http://www.middleeastmonitor.org.uk/articles/middle-east/729-war-council-in-damascus>. (accessed April 3, 2010).
- Barakat, Sultan, and Steven A. Zyck with Jenny E. Hunt. "Housing Compensation and Disaster Preparedness in the Aftermath of the July 2006 War in South Lebanon." *Norwegian Refugee Council and the Post-War Reconstruction & Development Unit from the University of York* (Beirut, December 2008), 5-6. <http://www.internal-displacement.org> (accessed April 5, 2010).
- British Broadcasting Corporation (BBC). "Israel and Syria Announce Nuclear Energy Ambitions." March 9, 2010. <http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/8558160.stm> (accessed April 3, 2010).
- "Israelis 'Seize Iran Arms Ship'." November 4, 2009. <http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/8341737.stm> (accessed March 20, 2010).
- "Netanyahu Refuses to Budge over Jerusalem Construction." April 23, 2010. http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/middle_east/8638895.stm, (accessed April 24, 2010).
- Bulloch, Gavin. "Military Doctrine and Counterinsurgency: A British Perspective." (Carlisle, PA: *Parameters*, Summer 1996).

- Burgess, Richard R. "Scanning the Littoral: Details Emerge About New P-3C Orion Surveillance Radar." *Seapower Magazine* (March 2008), 60. <http://www.seapower-digital.com/seapower/200803?pg=62#pg62>, (accessed April 5, 2009).
- Butcher, Tim. "Israel: Hizbollah arsenal has tripled in size." *The Telegraph*, November 25, 2008. <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/middleeast/israel/3518150/Israel-Hizbollah-arsenal-has-tripled-in-size.html> (accessed February 10, 2010).
- Carter, Terry, Lara Dunston, and Andrew Humphreys. *Lonely Planet: Syria and Lebanon*. Footscray, Australia: Lonely Planet Publications, 2004.
- Cordesman, Anthony H. *Arab-Israeli Military Forces in an Era of Asymmetric Wars*. Stanford: Stanford University Press and the Center for Strategic and International Studies, Washington, D.C., 2008.
- Country Watch Review, 2009 Edition. "Lebanon: 2009 Country Review." Edited by Denise Youngblood Coleman. Houston, TX., 26. <http://www.countrywatch.com>.
- Desai, Raj, and Harry Eckstein. "Insurgency: The Transformation of Peasant Rebellion." Source: *World Politics*, Vol. 42, No. 4 (July 1990), 442. Cambridge University Press. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2010510> (accessed April 15, 2010).
- Fraser, Christian. "Egypt Starts Building Steel Wall on Gaza Strip Border." British Broadcasting Corporation (BBC) Cairo, December 9, 2009. <http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/8405020.stm> (accessed March 21, 2010).
- Guillen, Abraham. "Urban Guerilla Strategy." *Voices of Terror: Manifestos, Writings, and Manuals of Al-Queda, Hamas, and Other Terrorists from Around the World and Throughout the Ages*. Edited by Walter Laquer. Reed Press, 2004.
- Ehteshami, Anoushiravan, and Raymond A. Hinnebusch. *Syria and Iran: Middle Powers in a Penetrated Regional System*. New York: Routledge Publishers, 1997.
- Harel, Amos, and Avi Issacharoff. *34 Days*. New York: Palgrave MacMillan Publishers, 2008.
- Haaretz Newspaper, Online Edition. "Egypt Destroys Tunnel Under Gaza Border to Curb Smuggling." March 21, 2010. <http://www.haaretz.com/hasen/spages/1157862.html>. (accessed March 21, 2010).
- Harris, Alistair. "Bordering on the Impossible: Securing Lebanon's Borders with Syria." *Royal United Services Institute for Independent Thinking on Defence and Security (RUSI) Journal*; Oct 2007; 152, 5; Military Module.

- Hessler, W. H. "Studies in Guerrilla Warfare: Guerrilla Warfare Is Different." U. S. Naval Institute Press, 1964.
- Holst, Sanford. *Phoenicians: Lebanon's Epic Heritage*. Los Angeles: Cambridge and Boston Press, 2005.
- Horne, Alistair. *A Savage War of Peace: Algeria 1954-1962*. New York: New York Review of Books, 2006.
- Ignatius, David. "Muslim Radicals in Power." *The Washington Post*, February 3, 2006, A15, A19. <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2006/02/02/AR2006020201586.html> (accessed April 6, 2010).
- Information Operations: Warfare and the Hard Reality of Soft Power*. Edited By Leigh Armistead. Washington, D.C.: Potomac Books, Inc., 2004.
- International Monetary Fund. "Program Note: Lebanon: 29 December 2009." <http://www.imf.org/external/np/country/notes/lebanon.htm>. (accessed April 10, 2010).
- Israeli Ministry of Health. "The Relationship Between The Israeli Ministry of Health and the Palestinian Health Authority, 2002-2003." Report to the Fifty-Sixth World Health Assembly of the World Health Organization, Provisional Agenda Item 19, A56/INF.DOC./6, May 16, 2003. http://apps.who.int/gb/archive/pdf_files/WHA56/ea56id6.pdf (accessed April 5, 2010).
- Israeli Ministry of Foreign Affairs. "Israel Protests to UN: Hizbullah Arms Storage Facility." October 13, 2009. http://www.mfa.gov.il/MFA/Foreign+Relations/Israel+and+the+UN/Issues/Israel_protests_UN_Hizbullah_arms_13-Oct-2009 (accessed February 20, 2009).
- "Seizing of the Palestinian Weapons Ship Karine-A: Photos." January 4, 2002. http://www.mfa.gov.il/MFA/MFAArchive/2000_2009/2002/1/Seizing%20of%20the%20Palestinian%20weapons%20ship%20Karine%20A- (accessed March 22, 2010).
- Jane's HIS (Global) Limited. "Jane's Sentinal Security Assessments - Lebanese Armed Forces." November 30, 2009. <http://www8.janes.com> (accessed February 11, 2010).
- Jewish Virtual Library, A Division of the American-Israeli Cooperative Enterprise, http://www.jewishvirtuallibrary.org/jsource/Society_&_Culture/staff.html (accessed February 26, 2010).
- Johnson, Charles M., Director International Affairs and Trade, Government Accountability Office (GAO). "Afghanistan Security: Corrective Actions Are Needed to Address Serious Accountability Concerns about Weapons Provided to Afghan National Security

- Forces.” February 12, 2009. <http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d09366t.pdf> (accessed April 2, 2010).
- Kane, Thomas M. *Military Logistics and Strategic Performance*. London: Frank Cass Publishers, 2001.
- Kessler, Glenn. “Weapons Given to Iraq Are Missing: GAO Estimates 30% of Arms Are Unaccounted For.” *The Washington Post*, August 6, 2007. <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-yn/content/article/2007/08/05/AR2007080501299.html>, (accessed April 13, 2010).
- Kilcullen, David. *The Accidental Guerrilla: Fighting Small Wars in the Midst of a Big One*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2009.
- Knickerbocker Brad, “On two ‘fronts,’ the war grinds on.” *Christian Science Monitor*, March 31, 2003. <http://www.csmonitor.com/2003/0331/p01s01-woiq.html>, (accessed February 26, 2010).
- Kreps, Sarah E. “The 2006 Lebanon War: Lessons Learned.” *Parameters* (Spring 2007) 79-81. <http://www.carlisle.army.mil/USAWC/Parameters/07spring/kreps.pdf> (accessed April 6, 2010).
- Kress, Moshe. *Operational Logistics: The Art and Science of Sustaining Military Operations*. Norwell, MA: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2002.
- Long, Douglas. *International Logistics: Global Supply Chain Management*. Norwell, MA: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2003.
- Luckow, Ulrik, Commander (Senior Grade), Royal Danish Navy, “Victory Over Ignorance and Fear: The U. S. Minelaying Attack on North Vietnam.” *U. S. Naval War College Review*, Jan-Feb 1982.
- McChrystal, Stanley, Gen, USA. “Tactical Directive dtd 6 July 2009.” Headquarters – International Security Assistance Force, Kabul, Afghanistan. http://www.nato.int/isaf/docu/official_texts/Tactical_Directive_090706.pdf (accessed April 5, 2010).
- Mitilian, Vatche. The Independent Guide to the Lebanese Air Force. <http://www.lebaneseairforce.info/index.htm> (accessed April 2, 2010).
- Norton, Augustus Richard. *Hezbollah*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2007.
- “Why Hizbullah is Winning.” *The Middle East Journal*; Winter 2007; 61, 1; ProQuest Military Collection.

- Office of the Prime Minister on Recovery Efforts in Lebanon. "UN Calls for End to IDF Attacks that Hinder Relief Aid." August 8, 2006. <http://www.rebuildlebanon.gov.lb/english/f/NewsArticle.asp?CNewsID=114> (accessed April 5, 2010).
- O'Neill, Bard. *Insurgency & Terrorism: From Revolution to Apocalypse*. Dulles, VA: Potomac Books Publishers, 2005.
- Oweis, Khaled Yacoub. "Syria and Iran Defy Clinton in Show of Unity." *ABC News*, February 25, 2010. <http://abcnews.go.com/International/wireStory?id=9935893> (accessed April 11, 2010).
- PBS Frontline World. "Lebanon – Party of God." (May 2003) *2002-2010 WGBH Educational Foundation*. <http://www.pbs.org/frontlineworld/stories/lebanon/facts.html> (accessed March 26, 2010).
- Ravid, Barak. "Netanyahu: Israel Has No Plans To Attack Lebanon." *Haaretz Newspaper, Online Edition*, January 23, 2010. <http://www.haaretz.com/hasen/spages/1144513.html> (accessed April 4, 2010).
- Reveron, Derek S. "Coalition Warfare: The Commander's Role." *Defense & Security Analysis* Vol. 18, No. 2, (2002), 112.
- Safieddine, Hicham. "U. S. Aid Dependency: The Road to Ruin," *Electronic Lebanon*. October 5, 2007. <http://electronicintifada.net/v2/article9024.shtml> (accessed April 24, 2010).
- Samii, Abbas William, "A Stable Structure on Shifting Sands: Assessing the Hizbullah-Iran-Syria Relationship." *Middle East Journal*, 62.1 (2008).
- Satloff, Robert. "Karine A: The Strategic Implications of Iranian-Palestinian Collusion." *The Washington Institute for Near East Policy*. Policy Watch No. 593. Washington, D. C., January 15, 2002. <http://www.washingtoninstitute.org/templateC05.php?CID=1471> (accessed April 28, 2010).
- Shulimson, Jack. *Marines in Lebanon: 1958*. Historical Branch, G-3 Division, Headquarters, U. S. Marine Corps, Washington, D. C. (1966).
- Simon, Steven, and Jonathan Stevenson. "Disarming Hezbollah: Advancing Regional Stability." *Foreign Affairs Magazine*. Published by the Council on Foreign Relations, January 11, 2010. <http://www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/65921/steven-simon-and-jonathan-stevenson/disarming-hezbollah> (accessed April 5, 2010).
- The Library of Congress, "Lebanon: The Israel-Hezbollah-Hamas Conflict." Jeremy M. Sharp, Coordinator, Foreign Affairs, Defense, and Trade Division. Congressional Research Service. Report for Congress, Order Code RL33566 (September 15, 2006).

- Thomson Reuters (Beirut). "Hizbullah Denies Link to Arms Ship Seized By Israel." November 5, 2009. <http://www.reuters.com/article/idUSTRE5A416L20091105> (accessed March 19, 2010).
- TIME Magazine. *Short of War*, March 3, 1958. <http://www.time.com/time/magazine/article/0,9171,893851,00.html#ixzz0iMa9TPnc> (accessed March 16, 2010).
- Tse-Tung, Mao. *On Guerrilla Warfare*. Fleet Marine Force Reference Publication (FMFRP) 12-18. Translated and published with an introduction by Samuel B. Griffith, Brigadier General, USMC (Ret), 1961.
- Traboulsi, Fawwaz. *A History of Modern Lebanon*. London: Pluto Press, 2007.
- United Nations Security Council. "Security Council Resolutions 1559 (2004) dtd 2 September 2004 and 1701 (2006) dtd 11 August 2006." <http://www.un.org/News/Press/docs/2006/sc8808.doc.htm> and <http://daccess-dds-ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/N04/498/92/PDF/N0449892.pdf?OpenElement> (accessed April 5, 2010).
- U. S. Central Intelligence Agency. "World Fact Book: Lebanon." <https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/geos/le.html> (accessed April 11, 2010).
- U. S. Customs and Border Protection. "How Cargo Flows Securely to the U.S." March 28, 2008. http://www.cbp.gov/linkhandler/cgov/trade/cargo_security/cargo_control/cargo_flow_map.ctt/cargo_flow_map.pdf (accessed April 15, 2010).
- U. S. Department of Homeland Security. "Container Security Initiative Ports." http://www.dhs.gov/files/programs/gc_1165872287564.shtm (accessed April 3, 2010).
- U. S. Department of State. "U. S. Assistant Secretary of State David Johnson Visits Beirut – Meets with the ISF Command." January 11, 2010. Washington, D. C. <http://www.state.gov/r/pa/prs/ps/2010/01/135055.htm> (accessed April 10, 2010).
- United States Joint Forces Command. *Joint Fires and Targeting Handbook*. October 19, 2007. http://www.dtic.mil/doctrine/doctrine/jwfc/jntfiretar_hdbk.pdf (accessed April 2, 2010).
- Vego, Milan N. *Joint Operational Warfare: Theory and Practice*. Newport: U. S. Naval War College, 2007.
- von Clausewitz, Carl. *On War*. Translated and edited by Michael Howard and Peter Paret. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1976.
- Welch, David C., US Assistant Secretary of State for Near East Affairs. "Testimony Before the US House of Representative's Foreign Affairs Subcommittee on the Middle East and South Asia." April 18, 2007. <http://foreignaffairs.house.gov/110/wel041807.htm>

(accessed April 28, 2010).

Yoshihara, Toshi. *Mao Zedong's Strategic Thought*. Lecture given at the U. S. Naval War College on November 3, 2009.

Yurechko, John. "Iraqi Deception and Denial." U. S. State Department Briefing, October 8, 2002. <http://www.defense.gov/transcripts/transcript.aspx?transcriptid=3787> (accessed March 30, 2010).